

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,237		07/14/2003	Jorgen K. Smedegaard	6520.200-US	5828
23650	7590	09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
NOVO N	ORDISK,	INC.	AHMED, AAMER S		
PATENT I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	EGE ROA		ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
PRINCET	ON, NJ 0	08540	3763		
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/619,237	SMEDEGAARD, JORGEN K.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aamer S. Ahmed	3763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 July 2003.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ ⁻	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International But	, ,,,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ___

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a delivery device, classified in class 604, subclass 890.1.
- II. Claims 19-23, drawn to a method for treatment, classified in class 604, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product; for example an IV drip and needle set with metering device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group A: Figures 1-6B and 8A

Art Unit: 3763

} ;

Group B: Figure 8B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

5 4

A telephone call was made to Marc Began was made on September 16, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an

Page 4

election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

amer Sejed Urmed

UCHOLAS D. LUCCHESI LICENY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5